

The Hon. Ricardo S. Martinez

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
v.
BRADLEY WOOLARD, *et al.*,
Defendants

NO. CR18-217-RSM

**SECOND PROTECTIVE ORDER
RESTRAINING CERTAIN
FORFEITABLE PROPERTY**

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the United States' Second Motion for Entry of a Protective Order Restraining Certain Forfeitable Property, as follows:

a. Assorted jewelry, seized on or about October 10, 2019 from a residence located at 2719 84th Drive NE, Lake Stevens, Washington, including items appearing to be the following:

1. One Rolex President Day-Date yellow gold wrist watch, serial no. N0816295;
 2. One Rolex President Day-Date yellow gold wrist watch, serial no. 6445613;
 3. One 14kt gold and diamond necklace;
 4. One men's 14kt yellow gold and diamond ring; and

5. One 14kt white gold and diamond ring;

b. Assorted jewelry, seized on or about October 10, 2019 from storage located at 3615 McDougall Avenue, Everett, Washington, including items be the following:

 1. One Breitling Super Avenger wrist watch;
 2. One Breitling Bentley model A44632 wrist watch;
 3. One Jacob & Co. Six Time Zone wrist watch;
 4. One Jacob & Co. Five Time Zone Replica wrist watch;
 5. One Rolex President Day-Date model 18038 wrist watch, serial no. 8452503;
 6. One Rolex President Day-Date model 18078 wrist watch, serial no. 7619813;
 7. One 14kt yellow gold and diamond pendant;
 8. One 14kt rose gold and diamond ring;
 9. One 14kt yellow gold and diamond linked ring;
 10. One white gold and diamond link-style ring;
 11. One 14kt yellow gold and diamond ring;
 12. One 10kt large rope chain;
 13. One 14kt yellow gold and diamond pharaoh pendant;
 14. One 10kt yellow gold and diamond pendant;
 15. One men's 14kt yellow gold and diamond ring;
 16. One 10kt yellow gold and diamond pendant on 10kt yellow gold chain;
 17. One 14kt yellow gold and diamond cross pendant on 14kt yellow gold chain;
 18. One 10kt yellow gold and diamond ram pendant on chain;
 19. One 14kt yellow gold and diamond Seahawks pendant on 10kt yellow gold chain;

20. One 10kt yellow gold and diamond high-heeled shoe pendant on 10kt yellow gold chain;
 21. One 10kt yellow gold and diamond enameled Air Jordan shoe pendant on 10kt yellow gold chain;
 22. One 14kt yellow gold and diamond ash holder on 14kt yellow gold chain;
 23. One 10kt yellow gold and diamond razor blade pendant on 10kt yellow gold chain;
 24. One 10kt yellow gold and diamond wing-shape ring;
 25. One 10kt white gold and diamond necklace;
 26. One 10kt white gold and diamond large linked chain;
 27. One 10kt white gold and diamond Highway 101 pendant;
 28. One 10kt white gold and black and white diamond necklace;
 29. One 10kt yellow gold and diamond pendant on 14kt yellow gold chain;
 30. One 14kt white gold and diamond face of Jesus pendant; and
 31. One 14kt yellow gold and diamond rope chain.

The Court, having reviewed the papers and pleadings filed in this matter, hereby FINDS entry of a protective order restraining the above-described property (the “Subject Property”) is appropriate because:

- A defendant in this case, Timothy Mantie, has been charged in the Third Superseding Indictment with the offense of *Conspiracy to Distribute Controlled Substances*, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and 846 (as set forth in Count 1) (Dkt. No. 279);
 - The Third Superseding Indictment and the Second Forfeiture Bill of Particulars provided notice of the United States' intent to seek the forfeiture of

1 property, including the Subject Property, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853(a) (Dkt.
2 Nos. 279, 335);

- 3 • Based on the facts set forth in the Affidavit of Drug Enforcement
4 Administration Special Agent Joseph Cheng, there is probable cause to
5 believe that the Subject Property is subject to forfeiture in this case; and
6 • To ensure that the Subject Property remains available for forfeiture, its
7 continued restraint, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853(e)(1), is appropriate.

8
9 NOW, THEREFORE, THE COURT ORDERS:

10 1. The United States' request for a protective order restraining the Subject
11 Property pending the conclusion of this case is GRANTED; and

12 ///

13 ///

14 ///

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 2. The Subject Property shall remain in the custody of the United States, to
2 include its federal agencies and/or their authorized agents or representatives, pending the
3 conclusion of criminal forfeiture proceedings and/or further order of this Court.

4
5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 DATED this 3rd day of April, 2020.

7
8
9
10 
11 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

13
14 Presented by:

15
16
17 /s/ Neal B. Christiansen
18 Neal B. Christiansen
19 Assistant United States Attorney
20 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220
21 Seattle, WA 98101-1271
22 (206) 553-2242
23 Neal.Christiansen2@usdoj.gov